

taken, That the further consideration of said motion, as amended, be referred to the first day of June next? And it was determined in the negative.

The question then recurred, and was propounded, Will the house agree to recommit the said bill, with instructions, as proposed by the motion, amended as above.

The yeas and nays, being required by seven members, were taken and appeared as follow:

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs. Gough

Comegys

Ringgold

Brown

Boon

Stewart of A. Arundel

Sellman

Linthicum

Taney

Bourne

Parran

Turner

Price

Shower

Ely

Lloyd

Martin

Millis

Gibbons

Dennis

Williams of Dorchester

Douglas of Dorchester

Lake

Goldsborough

Thomas of Cecil

Mackey

Mercer

Wells

Tilghman

Ridgaway

Hitch

Powell

Hooper

Thomas of Frederick

Holtz

Shriver

Hope

Montgomery

Smithson

Sutton

Steuart of Baltimore

M. Mahon

Walgamot

Rentch

Yates

Peter

Hoblitzell

M. Culloh—48.

NEGATIVE.

Messrs. Speaker

Thomas of St. Mary's

Hawkins

Blakistone

Kent

Rogerson

King

Hughes of Charles

Banning

Teackle

Donoho

Semmes

Beall

Gantt

Duvall

Watkins

Stevens

Ruth

Kemp

Potter

Orrell

Douglas of Caroline

Fitzhugh

Lee

Hughes of Montgomery

Lansdale—26.

So it was resolved in the affirmative; and the said bill was accordingly recommitted.

The clerk of the senate returned the bills, sent to that body for concurrence, of the following titles: